

Election Years	KPK		Classification under the definition of the model	Notes
	Variable # 1 VOTE SCORE OF TOP TWO CONTESTANTS	Variable # 2 Votes% by which the winner is ahead of the second scorer edge over the vote % of number 2 WINNER'S EDGE		
1970	48%	3%	Multi-polar	
1977	86%	11%	Bi-polar	
1988	50%	4%	Multi-polar	
1990	47%	3%	Multi-polar	
1993	41%	11%	Multi-polar	
1997	62%	7%	Multi-polar	
2002	59%	25%	Uni-polar	MMA contested as an alliance of Religious Parties Cluster
2008	41%	6%	Multi-polar	
2013	46%	12%	Multi-polar	
2018	55%	19%	Mild Uni-polar	
AVERAGE	54%	10%	The typical behavior of the province generally returns to Bi-polar contests	
	(±50%), model's definition if the electoral d 10%), that is broadly between 65% figure lower than this is treated as en marked in red and can be interpreted	er up is LOW by the model's definition if the d 10% (±5%), that is broadly between 5%-15%. any figure higher than that is treated as HIGH ve been marked in red and can be interpreted b n marked in red and can be interpreted by a domain expert	This case of being LOW on Variable # 1 and LOW on Variable #2. Hence the case is MULTI-POLAR according to the definition of the model.	